EXHIBIT 3 part 2 of 3

recommendation as to the plea. (Id.)

In regard to prejudice, petitioner only states that there was a viable defense based on the inadmissibility of his confession due to a violation of his Miranda rights. Petitioner does not further discuss this contention.

Accordingly, petitioner has failed to make a prima facie case of ineffective assistance of counsel. Petitioner has the burden of pleading sufficient grounds for relief. (People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.) Specifically, petitioner must plead facts which, if true, would entitle him to relief. (Id. at 474-75.)

D. Conclusion.

For all of the foregoing reasons, the petition is DENIED.

DATED:

HOBERT M. FOLES

DATED:______, 1996

ROBERT M. FOLKY JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

cc: Petitioner
Santa Clara County District Attorney
Research
File

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 2 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT M. FOLEY DUDGE 3 4 DEPARTMENT 5 DEC 13-1985 5 ---000----5 Cier!: DEBLIEA THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 7 8 Plaintiff, No. 96973 9 ~YS-IGNACIO P. PENA. 10 11 Defendant. 12 13 ---000---14 15 16 17 18 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 19 Held on October 17, 1985 20 21 APPEARANCES: For the People: 22 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: ROD BRAUGHTON, D.D.A. 23 For the Defendant: DANIEL HERNANDEZ. _ 24 ATTORNEY AT LAW Spanish Interpreter: 25 Evelyn Aaron Official Court Reporter: 26 Barbara T. Ives, CSR No.448 27 28 ---000---

San Jose, California

October 17, 1985

21063

2

1

PROCEEDINGS

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: Once again, the matter of People versus Ignacio P. Pena, Information 96973. Might I have your appearances for the record, please.

MR. BRAUGHTON: People are represented by Rod Braughton. from the District Attorney's Office.

MR_ HERNANDEZ: Daniel Hernandez appearing for Mr. Pena. Your Honor, who is present.

THE COURT: Spanish interpreter is also present. Might we have your name for the record.

MS. AARON: Evelyn Aaron, A-a-r-o-n.

THE COURT: You have been previously sworn and certified pursuant to the appropriate government code sections?

MS. AARON: Yes, I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hernandez?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor. We requested some discussion as to one of the potential offers that the People have made to my client and that my client is willing to -- is prepared to -- after some discussion, I think, to make to the People. We are basically talking about second degree in this case. And he has some matters or some questions that he would like to clear up before he changes his plea, if that's what the People agreed to.

THE COURT: Now, wait a minute. My understanding -- I may be wrong, but my understanding is that the People have made no offer.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Right.

THE COURT: This is an offer, as I understand it, by ir. Pena to the People.

ī

MR. HERNANDEZ: That's correct, Your Honor. I was just saying that we had prior discussions revolving around the possibility of an offer. We are prepared to make that offer as soon as my client is satisfied. He has some preliminary questions that I wanted to discuss in chambers, but we decided to do it on the record.

There are some clarifications and reassurances from the Court that my client wants before the offer is made.

THE COURT: You mean, you want a commitment from me that if the defendant did a certain thing and the People acceded to that, would I commit myself to certain things?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I think — We have explained to our client certain things in terms of the degrees and the time and the type of — the terms and punishment that apply to second degree. I think he has some certain questions that he wanted us to clarify, both with the People and with the Court for him.

He may have some direct questions himself, prior to making the offer.

THE COURT: Well, would the People be at all interested fin am offer by Mr. Pena to plead to a charge of second degree murder and admit the use of the firearm?

MR. BRAUGHTON: Your Honor, if Mr. Pena is willing to plead guilty exactly as charged in the Information, the People would consider stipulating that the murder is a murder of the second degree.

THE COURT: Fine. Thank you, What are Mr. Pena's concerns?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I think the consideration or the concern of

Mr. Pena has been -- from our discussions, is the gun allegation. If

there was no gun allegation, I think -- That was his basic concern, was

that If there was no gun allegation in the second degree, that he would

Ø 065

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24-

25

26

27

28

be more than willing to make that offer formally.

THE COURT: In other words, then, Mr. Pena is offerring to plead to the count in the information on a stipulation that it's in the second degree, but he does not wish to admit the personal use of a firearm?

MR. HERNANDEZ: In all candidness, he is concerned amout the additional term that would go along with that.

THE COURT: Two years.

MR. HERNANDEZ: That's his basic concern, from what I understood. Perhaps if he has his own questions, or I would be more than willing to stipulate that he could ask the Court directly himself if he wishes to. That is my interpretation of what his concern was.

THE COURT: You know what the law is. Two additional years are normally imposed for the personal use of a firearm. My understanding of the evidence in the case is that it will be demonstrated that he personally used a firearm in the commission of the murder.

Assuming that there was a murder. I think, really, the only thing we are talking about is, is it a first or is it a second?

If it's either one, I am kind of convinced that the evidence will demonstrate that a gun was used.

MR_ HERNANDEZ: Perhaps, I think if the Court were to clarify to my client, not only to me but through their own understanding that it would be at this point impossible to entertain an offer -or that the People may not be willing to entertain an offer without the gun allegation, perhaps we are at the point where-we have to make that final decision.

THE COURT: That's a decision I think that you are going to have to make. Mr. Braughton has represented that he wants the defendant

to admit everything contained in the Information. If the defendant will do that, he will stipulate that it is a murder in the second degree.

I didn't misunderstand you, did I?

MR. BRAUGHTON: No. sit.

THE COURT: So, I take it then, if you will, I wouldn't term it an offer by the People. If that's a word that you want to use, it's an offer to plead to a second and admit the use. And then, by law, that would place a maximum ceiling upon any punishment of no more than seventeen years to life in prison, as opposed to a first degree, which would be twenty-seven years to life.

(Off-the-record discussion between the defendant and his counsel.)

MR. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I think I have explained basically to my client the laws applied under Article 2.5 and Sections 2930 through 34 of the Penal Code having to do with time and the reduction of time once he is sentenced or once he is sent to the Department of Corrections system.

I think that in order to solidify my explanations to him, if the Court would be willing to reaffirm the possibilities of him qetting good time, good behavior time, lessening the seventeen years to actual time that he is going to do of less than seventeen years, if he complies with the rules once he is sentenced, I think he wants to hear that from the Court in order to be reassured that that is the policy.

Not so much that it's guaranteed, but that that is the policy that — that if his behavior is good and he cooperates with the prison system in terms of his good time/work time, that he will be given the benefit of —

์. ว

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27.

28

and with malice aforethought kill Feliberto Valencia, a human being.

To that charge, how do you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: It is also alleged, sir, that during the commission of that offense, you personally used a firearm, to wit: a handgun, within the meaning of Penal Code Section 12022.5 and 1203.06.

Do you admit that you personally used a firearm during the commission of the offense?

THE DEFENDANT: That's true.

THE COURT: By your plea, and admission, you are diving up certain important rights. Now, I am sure that your attorney has explained them to you. My purpose is to ascertain for the record that you understand each right and that you are freely and voluntarily giving up each right.

The first right you have is to a jury trial.

And before I go on, sir, let me explain that these rights apply not only to the offense alleged in the Information, but also to the allegation that you personally used a firearm. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, sir, the first right you have is to a jury trial. A jury trial is a situation where twelve citizens are summoned to court. They hear the facts of the case; they are instructed on the law. They then retire to deliberate in secrecy.

You may not be convicted of the offense unless all twelve of them agree that you are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand the right to a jury trial?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, but I waive -- I give up that

It's written right there in the book-

26

27

28

THE COURT: The problem I have with that is that's what the

MR. HERNANDEZ: I understand that. I just don't haven to have a Spanish version that he could read himself. He doesn't read English.

THE COURT: Would the Spanish interpreter help then? You read it in English to the Spanish interpreter.

MR. HERNANDEZ: We just talk to him at the side -- Can we just have a little conference with him and explain it to him again?

THE COURT: I think we could go off the record at this point, take a recess. Just read it to the Spanish interpreter. She can read it to Mr. Pena. When you're through, let us know and we'll take the matter up again. I don't want to wear out the reporter.

(The Court was in recess.)

THE COURT: Reconvening the matter of People versus

Ionacio Pena. The record will reflect that all parties who were in
the court when it recessed are once again in the court.

Mr. Hernandez, I understand that Mr. Pena wishes to plead to the count so long as it is stipulated to be murder in the second degree, and he will admit the personal use of a firearm.

MR_ HERNANDEZ: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Pena, we are going to have a discussion regarding the rights you give up when you change your plea. If I use any language you do not understand, please interrupt me, indicate that, and I will attempt to clarify it. Is that agreed, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: (Through the interpreter) Yes.

THE COURT: You are accused in the count, Mr. Pena, with a felony violation of Penal Code Section 187 in that you did unlawfully

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

riaht.

THE COURT: First, sir, do you understand the right to a jury trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you give up that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: With the consent of the People, you would have the right to a Court trial. A Court trial is a situation where the judge alone hears the facts of the case, and the judge alone determines your innocence or quilt of the charge.

Do you understand the right to a Court trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you give up that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes,

THE COURT: At a trial, you would have the right to confront and to cross-examine your accusers. Your accusers are the witnesses who would be summoned to court to testify against you.

Do you understand that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you give up that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You would have the right to use the subpoena power of the Court to compel the attendance of witnesses to appear at the trial to testify on your behalf.

Do you understand that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you give up that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

24 25

کی

26

27

THE COURT: You would have the right at a trial to testify on your own behalf and to present a defense. Do you understand that 3 right? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you give up that right? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: You have the right to remain silent. By that, I simply mean you cannot be made to say anything whatsoever about the 8 offense. Do you understand that right? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Do you give up that right? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes THE COURT: Have you had ample time and opportunity to 13 14 discuss your case with your attorney? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Have you received the full benefit of his advice? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Has your attorney explained to you the elements. 18 of the offense, and the possible defenses to the charge? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Is your plea and admission entered freely and 22 voluntarily? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor-24 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or tried in any other. way to force you to enter your plea? 25 26 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 27 THE COURT: Have any promises been made to you to induce you to enter your plea, other than the one stated on the record 28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this morning? And the promise is that this is a plea to a murder stipulated to be in the second degree.

Were there any other promises made to you?

THE DEFENDANT: No. sir.

THE COURT: Are you now under the influence of any alcoholic beverage or drug?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Turning to the consequences of your plea, you are advised if you are not a citizen, conviction of this offense may have the consequences of deportation, exclusion from admission or denial of naturalization.

Mr. Pena, for the offense of murder in the second degree, you may be punished by an indeterminate sentence of fifteen years to life in the State Prison.

You are admitting the allegation of a personal use of the firearm; that could increase that sentence by two additional years. So, the maximum punishment in your case would be seventeen years to life in prison.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I also should advise you. Mr. Pena, because you are admitting the personal use of a firearm, you are statutorily ineligible for probation. Even if the Court desired to place you on probation, it could not.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So, the only alternative the Court has is to sentence you to prison. Do you understand that, sir?

3

4

5

S

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Once you have served the sentence imposed by the Court, you will be released on parole for a period of --

MR. BRAUGHTON: Potentially life-time parole, I believe.

THE COURT: You're right. Because it's an indeterminate Some day, they are going to correct that, I know.

Sir, when you are released from prison, you will be placed on parole. The period of parole could extend for the duration of your natural life. That is a matter that is left in the hands of the Department of Corrections. It will be an administrative decision as to how long you shall remain on parole.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, if you violate any term or condition of your parole, your parole could be revoked and you could be returned to prison for an indeterminate period of time.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pena, you are being convicted of a serious felony, murder in the second degree. Hereafter, if you are convicted of any other serious felony, this conviction will be used to enhance any other subsequent sentence by five additional years in prison.

You understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Also, as a consequence of your plea, the Court must at the time of sentencing impose a restitution fine of not less than one hundred dollars or more than ten thousand dollars.

Do you understand that, sir?

23

24

25

26

27

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. Pena?
Э	the state of the s
. 4	
5	complete high school?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No.
7	THE COURT: What is the highest grade that you completed,
. 8	THE DEFENDANT: Sixth grade in Mexico, elementary school
9	in Mexico.
10	THE COURT: Sir, do you read and write?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12	THE COURT: In Spanish, I trust?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Do you have any questions that you wish to
15	ask your attorney, the District Attorney, or myself about your change
16	of plea?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Pena, have you understood everything I
19	have said to you this morning as translated to you by the Spanish
20	interpreter?
21 /	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: And this is what you want to do?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: You are satisfied that you have had sufficient
25	time to discuss this matter with your attorney; you have weighed the
26.	options, and you do want to proceed in this fashion?
27	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
28	THE COURT: All right. I am satisfied. Any questions?

MR. BRAUGHTON: No. thank you. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Hernandez, you do concur in the plea and Ε admission? MR. HERNANDEZ: I do concur, Your Honor. THE COURT: Might I have a stipulation from the attorneys 5 that there is a factual basis for the plea and admission, and the Court 6 in that regard may consider the Preliminary Examination transcript? В MR. HERNANDEZ: So stipulated. MR. BRAUGHTON: Yes, Your Honor. And also the court clerk 9 has an interpretation of a pretrial statement made by Mr. Pena 10 interpreted by a certified court interpreter. I would also ask that 11 that be a stipulated part of the factual record the Court may consider. 12 13 THE COURT: Agreed? 14 MR. HERNANDEZ: We can't agree to that; that isn't 15 necessary, Your Honor. It appears that that translation was brought in, and there is a lot of questions as to its validity, if terms are 16 17 correct interpretations. 18 We just don't see any reason to stipulate to that. It 19 may be a little bit -- Well, it may be -- I mean, I wouldn't be 20 representing my client properly. 21 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Will you stipulate that 22 the Court may also consider the police offense reports arising out 23 of the transaction? 24 MR. HERNANDEZ: We will stipulate to that. 25 THE COURT: Agreed? 26 MR. BRAUGHTON: That's fine. 27 THE COURT: Very good. | Once again, Mr. Pena, how do you 28 plead to the count alleged in the Information that you committed a

3

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

11

15

76

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

27

28

felony violation of Penal Code Section 187 and that you did unlawfully and with malice aforethought kill Filiberto Valencia, a human being? What is your plea, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: And to the allegation that during the commission of the offense, you personally used a firearm, to wit: a handgun, within the meaning of Penal Code Section 12022.5 and 1203.06, do you admit that allegation? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And before I accept the plea, I believe that there is a stipulation by the parties that the plea is to be accepted to an offense of murder in the second degree. MR. BRAUGHTON: So stipulated, Your Honor, by the People. MR. HERNANDEZ: So stipulated, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good! Mr. Pena, your plea is accepted. The Court finds that you have knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily walved your rights to jury trial, to remain silent, and to confront and to cross-examine the witnesses.

I find you understand the nature of the charge, the elements of the offense, possible defenses, and the maximum punishment that may be imposed.

Pursuant to stipulation, the Preliminary Examination transcript and the offense reports, the Court finds a factual basis for the plea.

The Court would also find that the plea is entered knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily with full knowledge of its meaning and effect.

Your matter will be referred to the Adult Probation Department

1	for a report. Does Mr Pena wish to waive time for sentencing or not?
2	MR. HERNANDEZ: He was concerned about being sentenced as
3	soon as possible.
4	THE COURT: As soon as possible. Very good. Set the
5	matter for receipt of the report and pronouncement of judgment
6	November 12th, 1985 at 9 o'clock a.m.
7	And the reason for this particular disposition would be
· . · 8	the state of the evidence?
9	MR. BRAUGHTON: Yes, Your Honor. That appears to me a
10	remsonable disposition in light of the facts of the case as contained
11	in the Preliminary Hearing transcript and the police reports.
12	THE COURT: Very good. So noted for the record,
13	Madam clerk, you are directed to record that plea as to
14	murder in the second degree. Thank you very much.
15	MR. BRAUGHTON: Your Honor, prior to departing for the day,
16	I would like to file a Notice of Motion; request the Court set a
17	hearing for sanctions with regard to the delays that have occurred
18	in the trial, to the witnesses pursuant to 128.5 and 177.5 of the
19	Code of Civil Procedures_
20	THE COURT: All right. When would be a convenient date
21	for counsel?
22	MR. BRAUGHTON: I would request you set it at the
23	convenience of Mr. Hernandez.
24	THE COURT: All right. The sentencing shall proceed in
25	Department 14 downtown Superior Court.
26	MR. HERNANDEZ: Has this been filed?
27	THE COURT: It was just filed with the clerk, I believe,
28	wasn't it? I'll tell you that we'll do. We are all going to be
	A STATE OF THE STA

together on November 12th. Why don't we hold it then. MR. BRAUGHTON: Satisfactory. THE COURT: Fine. Set for hearing November 12, 1985, 9 p'clock a.m. or as soon thereafiter as counsel may be heard. Thank you. ---000---

26.

EXHIBIT D

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARADORSED 3 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT M. CRIMINAL LEGAL BUILDING, DEPT. NO. 400 0:395 5 --000--Clerk 6 Deputy 7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 PLAINTLEF, 9 NO. 96973 V5. CHARGE: VIOLATION SECTION 10 IGNACIO P. PENA, 187 PC 12 . DEFENDANT. STATE PRISON 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 ON REPORT AND SENTENCING 15 16 TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 1985 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 FOR THE PEOPLE: DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 20 BY: ROD BRAUGHTON FOR THE DEFENDANT: 21 ATTORNEY AT LAW BY: DANIEL HERNANDEZ 22 SPANISH INTERPRETER: MARAVILLAS SHIVELY 23 ADULT PROBATION: 24 REPORTED BY: RETHA FULLER, CSR 1611 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 25



2004	Çase	05-07-cγ803056-JW DOCURED 5993 SEILED 10/26/2007 Page 23 of 41 2081
	1	ROBERT M. FOLEY, JUDGE NOVEMBER 12, 1985
•	2	DEFT. NO. 4 - TUESDAY
	3	
	4	PROCEED.INGS
	5	000
	6	THE COURT: LINE THREE, IGNACIO PENA,
	. 7	INFORMATION 96973.
	8	MR. HERNANDEZ: DANIEL HERNANDEZ FOR MR.
	9	PENA.
	10	THE COURT: MR. PENA IS PRESENT.
	11	MR. HERNANDEZ: YES, HE IS PRESENT.
	12	THE COURT: YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD, MA'AM?
	13	THE INTERPRETER: MARAVILLAS SHIVELY (SPELLING)
	14	S-H-I-V-E-L-Y.
	15	THE COURT: YOU RE THE SPANISH INTERPRETER?
	16	THE INTERPRETER YES, YOUR HONOR.
	17	THE COURT: YOU RE CERTIFIED ACCORDING TO THE
-	18	APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENT ORDINANCES AND YOU HAVE ALREADY
	19	BEEN SWORN?
	20	THE INTERPRETER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
-	21	THE COURT: VERY WELL.
	22	YOUR REQUEST IS TO CONTINUE THIS?
	23	YOU HAVEN'T RECEIVED A PROBATION REPORT FOR THE
2	24	REQUISITE NINE DAY PERIOD. IS THAT TRUE?
	.5	MR. HERNANDEZ: I AM SORRY?
2	6	THE COURT: YOU HAVE NOT BEEN IN RECEIPT OF
2	7	THE PROBATION REPORT FOR THE REQUISITE NINE DAY PERIOD?

MR. HERNANDEZ:

I HAVEN'T, BUT WE WILL WAIVE

20 ·

THAT.

THE COURT: OH, DOES HE WISH TO PROCEED TO
JUDGMENT IMMEDIATELY?

MR. HERNANDEZ: YES, HE DOES.

THE COURT: IN THIS MATTER, THE COURT HAS READ AND CONSIDERED THE PROBATION REPORT.

DOES THE DEFENDANT WAIVE FORMAL ARRAIGNMENT FOR

MR. HERNANDEZ: SO WAIVED.

THE COURT: HAVE ANY LEGAL CAUSE WHY THE SAME

SHOULD NOT NOW BE PRONOUNCED?

MR. HERNANDEZ: NONE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: NINE DAY RULE IS WAIVED?

MR. HERNANDEZ: NINE DAY RULE IS WAIVED.

THE COURT: IN VIEW OF THE PERSONAL USE OF A FIREARM ALLEGATION BEING ADMITTED, THE DEFENDANT IS STATUTORILY INELIGIBLE FOR PROBATION. HIS REQUEST FOR THE SAME IS HEREBY DENIED.

AS TO THE COUNT, THE DEFENDANT WILL BE COMMITTED
TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FOR THAT, THE TERM
PRESCRIBED BY LAW. IN ADDITION THERETO, HE HAVING
PERSONALLY ADMITTED THE USE OF A FIREARM DURING THE
COMMISSION OF THE OFFENSE, THE COURT WOULD IMPOSE TWO
ADDITIONAL YEARS.

TOTAL TERM THIS INFORMATION SEVENTEEN YEARS TO LIVE.

THE DEFENDANT SHALL RECEIVE CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED

OF 389 ACTUAL DAYS AND 194 DAYS GOOD TIME-WORK TIME FOR A

TOTAL OF 583 DAYS.

04/22/2004 Case 5:07-cy-03056-JW

DUSURIS FILED 10/26/2007 COURTROOM SERVICES

Page 27 of 41

2086

EXHIBIT E

RECALCULATION OF MEPD FOR 15-LIFE AND 25-LIFE PRISONERS PURSUANT TO IN RE MONIGOLD (1988) 205 CAL. APP. 3d 1224 NO DSL TERM OR QSL TERM COMFLETED

7	CREDITS VMSTED PER PC2934 (If offense date prior to 1-1-83) 1. Total days served prior to waiver date (Waiver date
	2. Al F 2 (round down) = /
•	J. Less credits lost per program /pprogram = O
· =	
В	MAXIMUM ELIGIBLE PAROLE DATE 1. //-/-4-85 +
	RECEIVED DATE TOTAL TOTAL
	3. Less A4 OR Vest 1/2 portage
	= 4-9-7
C.	WORKTIME CREDIT PER PC2933/PC2934
	1. Less NET Worktime credit earned from waiver/ receiven date through 2-15-89 or end of DSL - // 0//
	2. Current MEPD (cannot exceed 84) = 17-3-98
D.	GOOD TIME CREDIT DED DORGAL
	1. Date credit applied through (2-15-89 or date DSL term ends if later) - 2-15-89
	2. Days left to serve 3. Divide by 3 (round up) = 3,425
	4. PC Balance (D3 = 4)
~=	5. BC Balance (D4 x 3) $= \frac{200}{857}$
Ė.	RECALCULATED MEPD (C2 - D3) 1. Add credits lost for CDC 115's after D1 + PC BC 2. Subtract restorations for credit losses in E1 - PC BC 3. New PC/BC Balance PC= BC= 4. Add any 7 or 9 year MEPD CS Life term(s) +
	ADJUSTED MERD (E + E1 - E2 + E4)
3.	INITIAL PAROLE CONSIDERATION HEARING = $4-94$
-	month/vear
1.	NEXT DOCUMENTATION HEARING $\#2$ = $1-92$
v V	month/year
¥	our Minimum Eligible Parole Date has been recalculated pursuant to Re Monigold and you have been granted /, O// days worktime credit our recalculated adjusted (circle one) MEPD is 5-/2-06.
	our recalculated adjusted (circle one) MEPD is 5-/8-95. Your initial form the scheduled during the month of the scheduled during the scheduled during the month of the scheduled during the scheduled during the month of the scheduled during the scheduled
	Rusa K. Kukaliah D. 12 80
_ (TASE RECORDS STAFF DATE
	17862 Pena Ignacio conf-5
/85	
	10 TI - 13 KII FORM A - SIDE 1

AFTERNOON.

28

1 HE IS ORDERED TO PAY A ONE HUNDRED DOLLAR 2 TESTITUTION FINE. 3 WHEN YOU'RE RELEASED, SIR, YOU WILL BE PLACED ON TARCLE FOR A PERIOD OF -- COULD LAST FOR THE TERM OF YOUR 4 5 MATURAL LIFE, COULDN'T IT, MR. BRAUGHTON? 6 MR. BRAUGHTON: YES. 7 THE COURT: YOU WILL BE PLACED ON PAROLE FOR 8 AN INDETERMINATE TIME. VIOLATION OF ANY TERM OR 9 CONDITION OF YOUR PAROLE WILL RESULT IN ONE YEAR INCARCERATION 10 'N PRISON FOR EACH SEPARATE VIOLATION. 11 NO CHARGES ARE TO BE DISMISSED. 12 MR. HERNANDEZ: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 13 14 (WHEREUPON, THAT CONCLUDED THIS PORTION OF HEARING.) 15 16 THE COURT: I BELIEVE THERE IS ANOTHER MATTER, 17 MR. HERNANDEZ. THAT IS A MOTION BY THE PEOPLE TO IMPOSE 18 SANCTIONS. . I UNDERSTAND FROM YOU, YOU WISHED TO CONSULT 19 WITH AN ATTORNEY ABOUT THE MATTER? 20 MR. HERNANDEZ: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: HOW LONG WOULD YOU BE --22 MR. HERNANDEZ: I NEED THIRTY DAYS. I WILL 23 BE IN LOS ANGELES FOR A WEEK OR TWO. I WOULD LIKE SOME 24-TIME TO PREPARE. 25 THE COURT: CHECK YOUR CALENDAR. I WOULD LIKE 26 TO SET IT FOR DECEMBER 16th, MONDAY, AT 1:30 IN THE 27

IS THAT A CONVENIENT DATE?

EXHIBIT F

SUBSEQUENT PAROLE CONSIDERATION HEARING STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PRISON TERMS

In the matter of the Life Term Parole Consideration Hearing of:

IGNACIO PENA

CDC Number D-17862

COPY

INMATE

CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SOLANO

VACAVILLE, CALIFORNIA

NOVEMBER 18, 2002

2:15 P.M.

PANEL PRESENT:

JONES MOORE, Presiding Commissioner GEORGE LEHMAN, Deputy Commissioner

OTHERS PRESENT:

IGNACIO PENA, Inmate STEVE SANDERS, Attorney for Inmate ROD BRAUGHTON, Deputy District Attorney LEO MARTINEZ, Interpreter

CORRECTIONS TO THE DECISION HAVE BEEN MADE

No Yes

See Errata Sheet

Valerie Lord, Transcriber Capitol Electronic Reporting

INDEX

·								and the state of t			4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
								÷				Page
Proceedings											 ·.	. 1
Case Factors											 • •	14
Pre-Commitment Factors		·	• •								 •	14
Post-Commitment Factor	s							• • •		• -	 	16
Parole Plans							1			٠.	 	25
Closing Statements												
Recess					• •			. 			 	36
Decision			• • •									37
Adjournment		<i>.</i>									 •	43
Transcriber Certificat:	ion											44

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: This is a Subsequent Parole Consideration Hearing for 3 Egnacio Pena, P-E-N-A, CDC number D-as in 4 David-17862. The date of the hearing would be 5 November the 18th 2002, and the time is б approximately 1415 hours. The location would be the California State Prison, Solano. The legal 8 status of the prisoner, the date the prisoner was 9 raceived would be November the 14th of 1985. 10 The date the life term started would be February 20th 11 of 1986, from the County of Santa Clara. 12 offense would be murder second with the use of a 13 firearm, case number 96973, count one. 14 Penal Code Section violated would be 187 and 12022.5 15 term would be 17 to life and the minimum eligible 16 parole date would be February 26th of 1996. And, 17 Mr. Martinez, can we swear you in now. Do you 18. solemnly swear to translate from English to 19 Spanish, Spanish to English for the hearing today? 20 21 INTERPRETER MARTINEZ: Yes, I do. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you, 22 sir. Now, Mr. Pena, this hearing will be 23 tape-recorded, and for voice recognition purposes 24 we will state our full name, spelling our last 25 name, stating the purpose of our business. 26 27 then when it's your turn, if you would also add

- I your CDC number, please. We'll go around the room
- 2 to my right. My name is Jones Moore, M-O-O-R-E,
- 3 Commissioner, Board of Prison Terms.
- 4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LEHMAN: George Lehman,
- 5 L-E-H-M-A-N, Deputy Commissioner.
- 6 DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BRAUGHTON: My name
- 7 is Rod Braughton, B-R-A-U-G-H-T-O-N, I'm the
- 8 Deputy District Attorney for Santa Clara County.
- 9 I represent the People in this hearing.
- 10 ATTORNEY SANDERS: Steve Sanders, spelled
- II S-A-N-D-E-R-S, and I'm counsel for Mr. Pena.
- 12 INMATE PENA: Pena, P-E-N-A, D-17862.
- 13 INTERPRETER MARTINEZ: Leo Martinez,
- 14 M-A-R-T-I-N-E-Z, certified interpreter.
- PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you,
- 16 sir. Let the record show that there are two
- 17 correctional peace officers in the room here
- 18 strictly for safety and security measures,
- 19 Mr. Pena, and they'll play no role in today's
- 20 proceedings. Now the purpose of the hearing is to
- 21 once again consider your suitability for parole.
- 22 We will consider your crime, your prior criminal
- 23 and social history, and your behavior and
- 24 programming since your commitment. We've reviewed
- 25 your Central file and the prior transcripts and
- 26 you will have an opportunity to correct or clarify
- 27 them for the record. We will consider your

:

- 1 progress since your last hearing, any new
- 2 psychiatric reports, and any other information
- 3 that may have a bearing on your suitability for
- 4 parole. Any change in your parole plans should be
- 5 brought to our attention. Before we recess for
- 6 deliberations, the District Attorney, your
- 7 attorney and you will be given an opportunity to
- 8 make a final statement regarding parole
- 9 suitability and the length of confinement. After
- 10 this is done, we will recess, clear the room and
- 11 deliberate. Once we've completed our
- 12 deliberations, we will resume the hearing and
- 13 ammounce our decision. The Board of Prison Terms'
- 14 rules and the law state that a parole date should
- 15 be denied if your release would pose an
- 16 unreasonable risk of danger to others. Counsel,
- 17 the prisoner has certain rights, have those rights
- 18 been met thus far?
- 19 ATTORNEY SANDERS: My client and I feel that
- 20 they have up to this time.
- 21 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you,
- 22 sir. Are there any objections to the Panel
- 23 members today?
- 24 ATTORNEY SANDERS: No, we have no
- 25 objections.
- 26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Now I note
- 27 that the prisoner refused to sign the ADA form.

- 1 Mr. Pena, is there a reason that you didn't sign
- 2 this ADA form?
- 3 ATTORNEY SANDERS: One moment, Commissioner.
- 4 INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: I want to
- 5 put on an objection to the entire hearing.
- 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: That's fine.
- 7 I'll give him an opportunity to object at another
- 8 point in the hearing. My question to you,
- 9 Mr. Pena, was why did you not sign the ADA form.
- 10 Do you have a disability, is that why you refused
- ll to sign it?
- 12 INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: No, I
- 13 don't have any.
- PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Mr. Sanders,
- 15 would you show him the document I'm referring to
- 16 there, it's on, in the miscellaneous, probably
- 17 three pages back.
- 18 **ATTORNEY SANDERS:** Three pages back?
- 19 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: I think so,
- 20 two or three pages back. It's behind the stip
- 21 form and the request for interpreter form.
- 22 ATTORNEY SANDERS: This is what he's talking
- 23 about here, Mr. Pena. Reasonable accommodation,
- 24 that's the ADA form. Commissioner, if you look at
- 25 the other documents too, you can see that my
- 26 client has refused to sign the other documents as
- 27 well. His feeling and belief as he will explain

Ø 095

	3
1	to you a little later on is that this hearing is
2	violation of his rights.
3	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: That's fine
4	he's entitled to his feelings and his concerns.
5	I'm trying to
6	ATTORNEY SANDERS: He's willing to state for
7	the record now that he has no disability that
8.	would interfere with (inaudible) of this hearing.
9 `	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Is that
10	accurate, Mr. Pena, you have no
11	INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: Yes, Sir.
12	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right,
13	thank you, sir. Now, you'll receive a copy of our
14	written tentative decision today. The decision
15 '	becomes effective in 120 days. Copies of the
16	transcript and the decision will be sent to you
17	and you will have 90 days from that effective date
18	to appeal if you so desire. You are not required
19	to discuss your offense, nor are you required to
20	admit your offense. However, the Panel does
21	accept as true the findings of the court. Now,
22	Mr. Sanders, have you seen this?
23	ATTORNEY SANDERS: Yes, I have.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Okay, and you
25	have those documents?
26	
 27	ATTORNEY SANDERS: We have all the documents
	on that list.

	6
1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Any
. 2	additional documents to submit at this time?
3	ATTORNEY SANDERS: Other than, well, yes
4	do. This is a document that I've already
5	submitted to the Panel.
6	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: Okay, I hav
7	a copy of that already and now would be the
8	appropriate time as I was going to ask you are
9	there any objections at this time?
10	ATTORNEY SANDERS: Yes, my client has some
11	objections that he would like to make at this
12	time, Commissioner.
13	INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: I want to
14	ask a few questions.
15	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: This is not
16	an inquiry. This is not an inquiry time, sir. If
17	you have an objection, please state your objection
18	for the record.
.19	Things Drive man every
20	objection right here and can you please read this
21	for the record.
22	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: It's your
23	objection, please read it.
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: I'm going
	to read it in English. Objections under
26	statements to counsel at the parole consideration

for life prisoners. (Inaudible) Penal Code

./

1 Section 3041, 3042. The Panel (inaudible)

2 jurisdiction to deny the prisoner his release on

3 parole because of the law of Penal Code Section

4 PCS 190 (inaudible) his sentence and authorize

5 work time and good time credits (inaudible)

6 measure approved by (inaudible) November 7th,

7 1978. Therefore, the hearing is invalid. The

8 Fourteenth Amendment of the United States

9 Constitution, under Article one, Section seven, of

10 the California Constitution, each guarantee that

11 no person shall be deprived of life, liberty or

12 property without due process of law, nor the equal

13 protection of the law. This constitutional

14 (inaudible) requires an administrative agency who

15 has been granted the authority to adopt rules and

16 regulations to be one, consistent and not in

17 conflict with the provisions of the enabling

18 legislation; and, two, reasonably necessary to

19 effect its purposes. The administrative agency

20 may not vary or enlarge the terms of such

21 legislation; i.e., the rules and regulations

22 within the scope of the authority (inaudible)

23 noted for the rules and regulations to be valid.

24 Simply stated, the Board of Prison Terms, BPT, is

25 without authority to determine the minimum term of

26 imprisonment imposed upon the prisoner by statute,

27 nor deny his release date that was calculated

В

I,	under	the	PCS	2932,	subdivision	(b),	bу	the
----	-------	-----	-----	-------	-------------	------	----	-----

- 2 Department of Corrections, for the following
- 3 numerical reasons, the prisoner raises his formal
- 4 objections.
- 5 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: All right, so
- 6 as I understand it.
- 7 INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: His
- 8 (inaudible) continues.
- 9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER MOORE: That's fine.
- 10 but let me rule on the first portion of that
- 11 objection. We have the right under the Board of
- 12 Prison Terms' rules and 3041 and 3042 to hold this
- 13 hearing, so I am overruling your objection on that
- 14 note. You've had an administrative hearing,
- 15 you've had a (inaudible) hearing prior to coming
- 16 to prison that was by a court of law and since
- 17 you're here that means you're a prisoner in the
- 18 Department of Corrections. Proceed.
- 19 INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER:
- 20 Objections, number one, under the Doctrine of --
- 21 ATTORNEY SANDERS: Stare Decisis.
- 22 INMATE PENA THROUGH INTERPRETER: Stare
- 23 Decisis, I'm sorry, all (inaudible) exercise an
- 24 inferior jurisdiction are required to follow
- 25 decisions the courts exercise in superior
- 26 jurisdiction. Insofar that (inaudible) is used to
- 27 indicate that those (inaudible) may be enforced by

- 1 (inaudible). The BPT interpretation of PC 190
- 2 does not comport with the decision of the
- 3 California Supreme Court. For example, in the
- 4 case of the (inaudible) (1980, 28 Cal.3d. 210,
- 5 217) the court stated, in referring to a minimum
- 6 term of 25 years imposed pursuant to this section,
- 7 and (inaudible) vigorously demonstrate that the
- 8 provision contemplates that the individual
- 9 sentence under the statute would not automatically
- 10 receive indeterminate term of life imprisonment.
- 11 Instead, the statute recognizes that with
- 12 traditional indeterminate sentence, the term
- 13 actually imposed upon an individual offender may
- 14 range from 25 years to life. The Attorney
- 15 General's proposed interpretation of the statute
- 16 requires an automatic imposition of a sentence of
- 17 life imprisonment in every case completely
- 18 conflicts with the language and for that reason
- 19 alone must be rejected. Number two, under the
- 20 group of laws, the BPT has (inaudible) this
- 21 prisoner only comes within the scope of the
- 22 limited authority conferred by the determinate
- 23 sentence (inaudible) which only enables the
- 24 considerations for setting of release dates to go
- 25 upon parole outside the prison walls and
- 26 enclosures. There is not authority, underlined,
- 27 no authority, for the prison terms (inaudible).